UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,583	12/18/2001	Maurilio Cometto	ANDIP002	9533
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 10/02/200 /ER LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 7025		JEAN GILLES, JUDE		
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/026,583	COMETTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JUDE J. JEAN GILLES	2143			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —	, 				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologod in addordance with the practice and c	x parte quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-17,19-21 and 63-72</u> is/are	pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-17,19-21 and 63-72</u> is/are	e reiected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/08/2008. 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) Other:					
1 apor 110(5)/main bate 00/00/2000.					

Application/Control Number: 10/026,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the Reply dated 05/08/2008.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 05/08/2008 has been considered by the examiner.

Response to Amendment / Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 05/08/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-17.19-21 and 63-72 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paul et al. (Paul), Patent No. 2005/0047334 A1 in view of Aimoto et al (Aimoto), U.S. Patent No 6,144,636; have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of 35 U.S.C. 101 as explained below.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

Art Unit: 2143

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Independent claims 1, 63, 67, and 70 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over independent claims 1, 4, 11, 19, 20, 21, 22, and 23 of copending Application No. 10/777886. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, namely "methods and apparatus are provided for controlling congestion in a network such as a fibre channel network such as a congested network node can generate various instructions to control traffic flow towards a congested network node with characteristics of the congestion."

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 10/026,583 Page 4

Art Unit: 2143

Claim Objections (minor informalities)

4. Claims 5, 12, and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 5, 12, and 19 improperly depend on cancelled claims 4, 11, and 18. Appropriate

correction is required.

Conclusion

5. This action is made Non-Final. Any inquiry concerning this communication or

earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose

telephone number is (571) 272-3914. The examiner can normally be reached on

Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-3301.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0800.

/Jude J Jean-Gilles/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2143

September 08, 2008